

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI		AINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0112605 DATE: <u>12/19/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1400</u>	DEPART: 15	500				
FACILITY NAME: SOUTHERN GROUTS AND MORTARS							
FACILITY LOCATION: 1505 SW 2nd Pla	ace						
POMPANO BEA	ACH 33069-3202						
	: PATRICK OCONNOR 2012 I date)	PHONE: (954)943-2288 Mobile: (954)614-8389 PHONE: Mobile:					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING. 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Pat Ocooper Brief Notes:			(check ☑ only one ox for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still PATRICE If no, who is?:	COCONNOR?	[⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administration 3. Is the facility contact still?	rative update within 30 days?	· [Yes ⊠No Yes ⊠No				
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during too If yes, was the compliance authority notified at 			☐ Yes				

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 12/15/2011 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	Yes No
operation?	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	A
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE If not, what was the problem (if known)?	E test?
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. 	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? 	o not loaded during inspection.
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	<u>_</u>
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collect If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) a	and go to h.
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal bat 	
duration?	Yes No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collect	tor which is separate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and a 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	duration? Yes No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	?
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute aver c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	

Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 12/25/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0.0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	\overline{\overline{\text{V}}} Yes	□ No□ No□ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Y. 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contract.		
emissions by:	for uncommed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or r 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nec control emissions?		□ No□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of th owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entra particulate matter from stock piles?	e Yes Yes	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	e truck? Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	only one question)	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		00?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	☐ No	
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□ No	

R	ELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	•
1.	Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>	box for each g question 2.)	question)
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No
	 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 	Yes	☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	☐ Yes	☐ No
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage) If YES, what was the purpose?		□ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
Cl	HANGES	(check ☑	only one
	dministrative Changes:	box for each	
 1. 2. 	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	-	NoNoNoNoNo
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subration 30 days prior to the change?	mitted Yes	☐ No
	12/19/2011		
	Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
	12/19/2012		
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection	

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 12/19/2011, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at Southern Grouts and Mortars. The housekeeping was okay.